



TEST REPORT

REPORT NO: E 8735

2009-06-12

Much Asphalt (Pty) Ltd
 P.O.Box 15287
 Bellair 4006

Attention: Mr. Timothy Gradwell

Dear Sir,

Warm Asphalt Trials Leicester Road

With reference to the samples submitted for testing.


Date Received :	2009-06-01
Date Tested :	2009-06-05 to 2009-06-11
Material Description :	1 x ± 150 kg asphalt sample 15 x ± 100 mm dia asphalt briquettes 1 x 5 liter (40/50 + 2% Rediset modified binder)
Order Number	-
Deviation/Irregularity from test method:	N/A
Subcontracted to (*) as indicated :	N/A
Total number of pages :	6
Tests carried out :	Test Methods:
MMLS3 Wheel tracking	MMLS3 @ 50 ± 2°C, 7200 load repetitions per hour, wheel pressure 700 kPa, 2.7 kN wheel load, with no lateral wander. Briquettes were cut to a width of 105 mm. Transverse rut measurement were taken at 3 mm intervals. The tests were done dry. Briquettes were compacted at 150 mm diameter on a Troxler SGC to 7 ± 1% void content.
Air Permeability	TRH8 Appendix C
Modified Lottmann Test	ASTM D4687
Dynamic Creep Modulus	40°C, 100 kPa, 0.5Hz, Square wave, 1s loading 1s rest, 30 – 3600 pulses
Resilient Modulus	NAT, 25°C, 5µm hor. deformation, 120 ms risetime, 0.5 µm assumed Poisson's ratio.
Modified Lottmann Test	ASTM D4867
Gyratory Compaction	Troxler Superpave Gyratory Compactor, 145°C, 1.25° angle of gyration, 600 kPa loading, 30 gyr/min
Extraction, Bitumen Recovery and Penetration	TMH1 C7b, Din 1996, ASTM D5
Softening Point (R&B) (Recovered)	TMH1 C7b, Din 1996, ASTM D36
SANS 307	ASTM D5, D36, D2872, D4402 SRT TMA 1.

REPORT NO: E 8735

The results of the MMLS testing are as follows:

Laboratory Number	B5958	
Sample Number	P2643	
Mix Identification	T	
Mix Type/Layer	Type D, 10% RAP, 1% Lime	
Binder Type	40/50 + 2% Rediset	
MMLS3 Data		
Average Voids of Briquettes (%)		7.1
Average Rut depth after 100 k repetitions (μ) (mm)		2.47
Standard Deviation (σ) (mm)		0.383
COV (%)		15.6
$\mu + 2\sigma$ (95% Probability level) (mm)		3.2

A graphical representation of the rut resistance of the mix is as follows:



The above graph was drawn from the following data:

Number of Axles	100	1000	2500	5000	10000	20000	50000	75000	100000
Rut Depth (mm)	0.45	0.82	1.05	1.37	1.58	1.86	2.20	2.34	2.47



REPORT NO: E 8735

The individual briquette data are as follows:

Test Position	0200	0300	0400	0500	0600	0700	0800	Average
Briquette Number	3	8	7	9	12	5	4	
Briquette Height (mm)	60							
BRD	2.294	2.300	2.296	2.294	2.298	2.298	2.293	
TMRD								
Void Content (%)	7.2	7.0	7.1	7.2	7.0	7.0	7.2	7.1
Rut Depth (mm)	2.17	1.83	2.68	2.62	2.91	2.76	2.29	2.47

We can refer you to the interim guidelines presented by Professor Hugo at the November 2008 RPF held in Pretoria for an interpretation of the results. A copy can be forwarded to you if required.

The results of the rest of the tests on asphalt, performed by SRT are as follows:

Laboratory Number	B5959		
Sample Number	P2643		
Date Sampled	2009-06-01		
Mix Type	Type D, 1% Hydrated Lime, 10% RA		
Binder Grade	40/50 + 2.0% Rediset		
Modified Lottmann Test (TSR)	0.85		
Air Permeability @ 7% Voids ($\times 10^{-8} \text{cm}^2$)	0.320		
Dynamic Creep Modulus (MPa)	29.9	20.9	28.7
Average	26.5		
Voids after 300 Gyration @ 125°C (%)	1.2		
Resilient Modulus (MPa)	4099	3359	4054
Average	3837		
Recovered Penetration (d.mm)	30		
Recovered Softening Point (R&B) (°C)	54.7		

The results of the tests on the bitumen sample are as follows:

Laboratory Number	B5959	Specification
Sample Number	Bit 63	SANS 307
Date Sampled	2009-06-01	
Binder Grade	40/50 + 2% Rediset	40/50
Tests Before RTFOT		
Penetration (d.mm)	*	40 - 50
Softening Point (R&B) (°C)	*	49 - 59
Dynamic Viscosity @ 60°C (Pa.s)	*	220 - 400
Dynamic Viscosity @ 135°C (Pa.s)	*	0.27 - 0.65
Spot Test	*	30 Max
Tests After RTFOT		
Mass Change (%)	*	0.3 Max
Retained Penetration (%)	*	60 Min
Softening Point (R&B) (°C)	*	52 Min
Difference in Softening Point (R&B) (°C)	*	7 Max
Dynamic Viscosity @ 60°C (% of Original)	*	300 Max

* There were lumps present in the sample making it impossible to test.

REPORT NO: E 8735

Attached, please find an "Air Permeability at 7% Voids Report", as well as a "Gyratory Compaction Graph"

We wish to thank you for your valued support and if you require any further information please feel free to contact us.

Yours faithfully



C.H. Loots
Branch Manager

Everything possible is done to ensure that tests are representative and are performed accurately, and that reports and conclusions are quoted correctly. SRT or its officials can in no way be held liable for consequential damage or loss due to any error made in carrying out the tests, nor for any erroneous statement or opinion contained in a report based on such tests. If a test report is published or reproduced by the client, it will be done in full, without any omission. This report relates only to the samples received. If the report is referred to as an INTERIM REPORT it is not fit for publication.

SPECIALISED ROAD TECHNOLOGIES

GYRATORY COMPACTION GRAPH

Client : Much Asphalt (Pty) Ltd.


Report Number : E8735

Project : Warm Mix Trials - Leicester Road

Date : 2009-06-12

Laboratory Number	B5958	Voids after 300 gyrations (%)	1.2
Sample Number	P2643	Compaction after 300 gyrations (%)	98.8
Mix Type / Content (%)	Type D + 10% RA + 1% Hydrated Lime	Compaction Temp. (°C)	125
Binder Type	40/50 + 2% Rediset	BRD after 300 Gyrations	2.442
Gyratory Number	G151	TMRD	2.472

GYROPAVE COMPACTION



For SRT: 
Branch Manager

SPECIALISED ROAD TECHNOLOGIES

Air Permeability @ 7% Voids Report

Client : Much Asphalt (Pty) Ltd.

Report No.: E8735


Project : Warm Mix Trials-Leicester Road

Date : 2009-06-12

Lab. Number	Sample Number	Mix Type	Specimen Number	BRD	TMRD (Rice's)	Voids (%)	Air Permeability ($\times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2$)
B5958	P2643	Type "D" 1% Lime+10% RA 40/50+2% Rediset	20	2.289	2.472	7.4	1.284
			25	2.297		7.1	0.214
			20	2.313		6.4	0.089
			25	2.337		5.5	< 0.030

Result @ 7% Voids : 0.320 ($\times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2$)

Air Permeability vs Voids



Comment: An exponential fit was used.

For SRT:


Branch Manager